

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation

Minutes of Meeting of Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) regarding evaluating Technical Bids of “Rehabilitation of existing Churachandpur- Singhat- Tuvai road on NH-102 B between Km. 0.000 to Km. 34.5 (Length 34.50 Km.) in the state of Manipur on EPC basis” on 20.01.2017 (First Meeting).

1. The RFPs for the subject work were invited with bid due date as 04.01.2017 till 1700 hrs.
2. The hard copy of two bids were received upto the due date and time of submission. The ETBC opened the Technical Bids on 04.01.2017 at 1730 hrs. received from the following applicants in the presence of applicant’s representatives who chose to attend the RFP opening, the hard copy of the original documents along with the soft copy (as received from E-bidding service viz. <https://eprocure.gov.in/cppp/>) were handed over to the concerned Financial Consultant **M/s PDMAG & Co.** for carrying out the evaluation of the Technical Bids.

Name Of Work	Name Of bidders	Name of Financial Consultant
Rehabilitation of existing Churachandpur-Singhat- Tuvai road on NH-102 B between Km. 0.000 to Km. 34.5 (Length 34.50 Km.) in the state of Manipur on EPC basis	M/s S. Hungyo in JV with M/s V. Guite	M/s PDMAG & Co.
	M/s L. K. & Sons in JV with M/s M. Dama	

3. The Financial Consultant of the package had submitted the Final RFP evaluation report in respect of each bidder, based on the stipulated evaluation criteria mentioned in the RFP. In Financial Consultant in detailed evaluation report has submitted the following observation and recommendation.

(A). Observation

(1) For M/s S. Hungyo in JV with M/s V. Guite: -

- I. Bid Capacity:** The following clarifications are required to be sought from the bidder-
 - a) The Bidder has not provided the methodology for calculation of Bid Capacity (A*N*2-B).
 - b) The Bidder is required to provide an undertaking regarding Civil Construction Work duly certified from Statutory Auditor.
 - c) The other Member of the Construction is required to provide an undertaking regarding Civil Construction work duly certified from Statutory Auditor.
 - d) The Bidder is required to provide the certificate from Executive Engineer or equivalent rank for value of work done (if any) as per RFP.

- II. Technical Capacity:** The following clarifications are required to be sought from the bidder-



- a) The Lead Member has claimed maximum value (Rs. 15.52 Cr.) out of 3 projects claimed. Even after considering the aggregate value after maximum updating factor for receipt (1.2) of 3 project claimed, the value of the receipt from construction of eligible Project will be Rs. 36.43 Cr. Hence, the Lead member does not meet the required value for threshold Capacity () i.e. Rs. 54.63 Cr.
- b) The other member has claimed (Rs. 13.09 Cr.) as Technical Score. Even after considering the value after maximum updating factor for receipt (1.2) for project claimed, the value of receipt from Construction of Eligible Project will be Rs. 15.71 Cr. Hence, the other member does not meet the required value for threshold Capacity (i.e. Rs. 27.35 Cr.)
- c) None of project of bidder has met the requirement of Rs. 18.23 Cr. in Highways from Category 1 and 3.
- d) The Bidder has not provided Certificate from S.A. for value of work done as required by RFP.

III. Financial Capacity: The following clarifications are required to be sought from the bidder-

- a) Bidders are required to provide Methodology for calculation of Net Worth.
- b) Financial Capacity of other member is Rs. 0.89 Cr., which does not meet the requirement (Rs. 1.10 Cr.).


(2) For M/s L. K. & Sons in JV with M/s M. Dama: -

I. Bid Capacity: The following clarifications are required to be sought from the bidder-

- a) The Bidder has not provided the methodology for calculation of Bid Capacity ($A \times N \times 2 - B$).
- b) The Lead Member (L.K. & Sons) has provided two Certificates for calculation of value of Civil Engineering Work. The Bidder is required to provide an undertaking regarding Civil Construction Work duly certified from Statutory Auditor.
- c) The other Member of the Construction is required to provide an undertaking regarding Civil Construction work duly certified from Statutory Auditor.
- d) The Bidder is required to provide the certificate from Executive Engineer or equivalent rank for value of work done (if any) as per RFP.

II. Technical Capacity: The following clarifications are required to be sought from the bidder-

- a) The Lead Member has claimed maximum value (Rs. 14.35 Cr.) out of 3 projects claimed. Even after considering the aggregate value after maximum updating factor for receipt (1.2) of 3 project claimed, the value of the receipt from construction of eligible Project will be Rs. 41.26 Cr. Hence, the Lead member does not meet the required value for threshold Capacity (i.e. Rs. 54.63 Cr).
- b) The other member has claimed (Rs. 7.85 Cr.) as Technical Score. Even after considering the value after maximum updating factor for receipt (1.2) for project claimed, the value of receipt from Construction of Eligible Project will be Rs. 9.42 Cr. Hence, the other




- member does not meet the required value for Threshold Capacity (i.e. Rs. 27.35 Cr.)
- c) None of project of bidder has met the requirement of Rs. 18.23 Cr. in Highways from Category 1 and 3.
 - d) The Bidder has not provided Certificate from S.A. for value of work done as required by RFP.

III. Financial Capacity: Bidder is required to provide Methodology for calculation of Net Worth duly certified by Statutory Auditor.

(B). Recommendation: The above criteria are required to be submitted for Bid to be qualified and cannot be asked in clarification being the additional document. We are of the opinion that the Bids of both the bidders are not technically qualified as none of bidder met the technical threshold Capacity and other requirements as mentioned above. The Bids may be re-invited by the Authority.

4. Committee deliberated on the recommendation of Financial Consultant and is of the view that the bids should be re-invited as recommended by Financial Consultant **M/s PDMAG & Co.**

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.


(V.K. Rajawat)
(ED-I)
Chairman


(Y.C. Srivastava)
(GM-Tech)
Member
Secretary


Col Rajeev Sood
(GM-Tech)
Member


A.K. Jha
DGM (Tech)
Member


Sunil Gupta
Manager (Finance):
Member

